



# The Double-Edged Sword: Social Media's Impact on Press Freedom and Democratic Information Flows in Diverse Asian Contexts

# Sulaiman Juned 1\*

<sup>1</sup>Institut Seni Indonesia Padang Panjang Indonesia

Correspondence: <u>sulaimanjuned@isi.padangpanjang.ac.id</u>

Received: 21-04-2025 | Revised: 29-05-2025 | Accepted: 3-06-2025

#### Abstract

This article examines the controversy surrounding press freedom in Asia, focusing on the influence of social media on the democratization of information in Asian countries. Press freedom in Asia faces significant challenges from both political pressure and government control over traditional media. In the digital age, social media has become the primary platform for information sharing; however, it also presents new challenges related to the spread of inaccurate information and restrictions on freedom of expression. This study employs a literature review method to analyze relevant works concerning the relationship between social media, press freedom, and the democratization of information across various Asian countries. The findings indicate that while social media has the potential to expand democratic space, its impact on press freedom is heavily influenced by government policies and local socio-political conditions. In some countries, social media is used to advocate for free speech and amplify opposition voices, whereas in others, it serves as a government tool to limit the flow of information deemed harmful. The article further highlights the challenges Asian countries face in balancing information freedom and social media regulation to prevent misinformation. The study concludes that social media plays a crucial role in advancing press freedom, but its success depends on wise management and appropriate regulation.

Keywords: Press, Social Media, Disinformation, Regulation

#### Introduction

The advancement of technology, particularly social media, has brought significant changes to the landscape of press freedom in Asia (Duho et al., 2020; Kocak & Kıbrıs, 2023). While social media offers great opportunities for accessing information and enhancing freedom of expression, its impact often contradicts its primary goal of promoting democracy. In many Asian countries, although social media enables broader access to information, it is frequently used as a tool



to disseminate uncontrolled information, disinformation, or even exacerbate social polarization. In some cases, social media functions more as a propaganda tool that intensifies political control by governments or certain groups (Isabella & Nofrima, 2024; Vaccari & Chadwick, 2020). While social media provides a new space for press freedom, these realities show that excessive control and information manipulation remain major challenges. Previous studies have noted that although social media can accelerate democratization processes, difficulties in balancing freedom of information with overbearing control persist (Huda & Rusfian, 2020; Salzman, 2019). Therefore, a deeper understanding is required of how this technology can be utilized to strengthen press freedom without sacrificing fundamental societal values.

Current research tends to overlook the importance of understanding social and cultural differences in the impact of social media on press freedom in Asia. Much of the existing work focuses on the positive aspects of social media use without considering the challenges faced by societies with social and cultural diversity across Asia. These studies often view social media solely as a means to enhance freedom of expression but fail to examine how varying cultural and social values influence the adoption and impact of social media. Although previous research has mapped the negative effects of social media, it has paid less attention to how this technology is received or perceived within specific cultural and religious contexts in Asian countries. For example, some Asian countries have strong collectivist traditions that may conflict with the individualistic principles often promoted by social media. This indicates that a more profound understanding of social and cultural disparities in Asian countries is necessary to formulate effective policies that utilize social media to strengthen press freedom without disregarding existing local values.

This study aims to fill the gap in previous research by focusing on how the social and cultural characteristics of a society influence the acceptance and adoption of social media, particularly concerning press freedom in Asian countries. Through this research, it is expected that a deeper comprehension will be gained about how social media affects press freedom and how social and cultural disparities influence the impact of social media on information dissemination in society. This study seeks to answer several key questions: first, what is the role of social media in influencing press freedom in Asian countries? Second, how do social and cultural inequalities affect the impact of social media on information freedom? Third, what are the effects of social media on the

democratization process of information in Asian countries? By answering these questions, this research aims to provide more profound insights into the challenges faced in advocating for press freedom in Asia and to propose more effective policies to leverage social media positively for the democratization of information.

This study argues that press freedom in Asian countries heavily depends on how social media is accepted and utilized within the unique social and cultural contexts of each country. Many Asian countries possess strong communal life orientations that often conflict with the individual efficiency principles promoted by social media. Societies with strong collectivist values often view freedom of expression via social media as a threat to the existing social structure. Therefore, while social media can accelerate democratization processes, its success in advancing press freedom is highly contingent on alignment with societal cultural values. Technologies like social media require supportive infrastructure and egalitarian social structures to ensure equal access to information for all individuals. Adaptation to local societal values is also necessary so that the press freedom offered by social media can be positively harnessed. Consequently, success in technology adoption requires social engineering that aligns technological use with the social character of each country.

This research employs a library research methodology aimed at analyzing and reviewing various relevant sources about the controversy surrounding press freedom and the influence of social media on the democratization of information in Asian countries. The library research approach is chosen because it allows the researcher to explore past thoughts, existing theories, and numerous relevant studies to understand phenomena related to social media and press freedom. The research process begins with identifying various primary and secondary sources, including scientific articles, books, research reports, and related documents published in various academic journals and platforms. The researcher also accesses recent articles, policy documents, and reports from international organizations discussing press freedom, social media, and democratization in Asia. The data collected from these sources are analyzed qualitatively, focusing on understanding the context, theory, and phenomena occurring in Asian countries regarding social media and press freedom. The researcher synthesizes existing theories, examines both positive and negative impacts of social media on freedom of expression, and identifies how social media plays a role in expanding democratic space or limits information freedom in countries with diverse social and political conditions. In analyzing existing literature, the researcher also considers the local context of each Asian country, taking into account differences in media policies, political systems, and technological development levels that affect social media usage. This process enables the researcher to gain broader insights into how press freedom and social media influence are shaped by social, political, and economic dynamics across individual countries. By employing the library research approach, this study not only provides an overview of the controversy surrounding press freedom in Asia but also offers a strong theoretical foundation for understanding the relationship between social media and information democratization in the region.

### The Impact of Social Media on Press Freedom in Asian Countries

The emergence of social media has fundamentally transformed the dynamics of press freedom in Asian countries, presenting both opportunities and challenges. The ability of social media to rapidly and widely disseminate information offers a platform for independent media to navigate restrictive environments where traditional press is limited. However, various national frameworks are being adjusted to curb this potential, revealing a complex interaction between state control and digital freedom. In Southeast Asia, for instance, countries such as Malaysia and Thailand have seen significant increases in legal restrictions aimed at limiting the power of independent media on social platforms. This trend toward strengthened media regulations reflects a broader movement by authoritarian regimes to control narratives by leveraging both traditional and digital media channels. In this context, efforts by independent online media frequently face strong resistance from governments, potentially limiting populism and hindering democratic discourse ("Southeast Asia's media restrictions will limit populism," 2015). Changes in regulatory frameworks are viewed as a direct threat to the growing reliance on social media among journalists and independent activists (Queiroz, 2024). Consequently, strategic manipulation of legal instruments has become an essential tool for state authorities seeking to maintain control over the media landscape.

Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic context reveals striking contrasts between the need for transparent reporting and the tendency toward censorship as governments worldwide attempt to control the narrative around the crisis. In the Philippines, particularly in Cebu City, media outlets faced significant censorship pressures amid the health crisis (Baba et al., 2022). Conversely, these platforms empowered citizens by providing easy access to news and facilitating information exchange beyond conventional media restrictions (Baba et al., 2022). This contrasting dynamic between increased censorship and expanded access reflects the dual nature of social media's influence on press freedom, complicating the landscape for journalists operating under pandemic conditions and rising state surveillance.

The rise of digital platforms highlights a dilemma faced by authoritarian governments: while recognizing social media's potential to facilitate freedom of expression and democratize information dissemination, they also view it as a challenge to their authority. This sentiment is evident in regions like Indonesia, where media freedom is gradually eroding despite technological advances that facilitate information access (Rama, 2024; Iannone, 2022). The political foundations of mainstream media trust in these countries also exhibit a complex relationship between governmental stability and press freedom, further complicating media operations within these sociopolitical contexts (Chang & Tang, 2023). Intensified manipulation of public discourse occurs as governments seek to oversee information flow and manage public perception through control of traditional media and digital censorship. Regulations governing electronic media represent a developing regulatory field aimed at limiting freedom of expression and press freedom in Asia ("Southeast Asia's media restrictions will limit populism," 2015; Rama, 2024). The discourse on social media regulation highlights tensions between protecting democratic values and combating disinformation, especially concerning journalistic integrity under censorship threats and misinformation campaigns (Queiroz, 2024; Iannone, 2022). The impact of this regulatory landscape has strengthened calls within civil society for stronger protections of journalists, particularly amid increasing governmental interference in news processes (Neyazi, 2017). Countries such as Myanmar, which experienced a transient increase in press freedom following reforms, illustrate a regression trend as censorship mechanisms are reinforced (Nyunt, n.d.).

Given the mutable nature of press freedom, these developments highlight the precarious state of the information space in many Asian countries. For example, South Asia's ostensibly protective legal frameworks for press freedom coexist with practical environments that raise concerns about repression and media censorship (Neyazi, 2017; Haque, 2019). Such discrepancies underscore a

broader global trend where media freedom confronts state practices aimed at suppressing dissent. Self-censorship has emerged as an increasingly significant barrier for journalists in diverse contexts, whether due to legal consequences or social pressures arising from government surveillance and societal norms dictating acceptable discourse (Wicaksono & Setiawan, 2023). This phenomenon underscores the chilling effects of volatile media environments where fear of retaliation or misinformation accusations causes journalists and media outlets to restrain themselves. The censorship battle extends beyond state mechanisms to include social expectations and media freedom's historical legacies, complicating the contemporary press landscape (Ng et al., 2019).

Digital-era censorship presents unique challenges, particularly amid Asia's diverse political tendencies and governance structures. For instance, the authoritarian nature of media regulation in China has sparked discussions about its influence on neighboring states, affecting regional press freedom standards and creating an increasingly restrictive media environment (Gamso, 2021). Such regulatory dynamics impact not only domestic media operations but also have far-reaching implications for transnational media interactions and adherence to common journalistic standards. As a result, the complexities surrounding press freedom in Asia necessitate a deeper understanding of how legal and extrajudicial actions interact with social behaviors related to media consumption and dissemination. Media literacy and public engagement are crucial for empowering citizens to navigate the intricacies of an increasingly polarized media environment (Waldman & Çalışkan, 2017). Without an informed and participatory citizenry aware of media manipulations, the prospects for journalism to uphold accountability diminish significantly.

As media rhetoric evolves in response to regulatory pressures, there is a growing need to evaluate the role of new media technologies in facilitating or hindering meaningful press freedom. In many Asian contexts, the promise of new media as a tool to embrace diverse voices and promote dissent remains fraught with tensions as states impose legal restrictions designed to silence critical narratives (Kaul, 2012; Robie, 2018). The primary challenge lies in balancing the potential of digital platforms to foster democratic engagement with the reality of state encroachments on media life. In conclusion, social media's impact on press freedom in Asian countries reflects a double-edged sword where technology offers vast possibilities for independence and reach while simultaneously inviting increased surveillance and repression from government

entities. Ongoing monitoring and regulatory actions against independent journalism continue to reflect a delicate balance between allowing freedom of expression and managing dissent, shaping the future trajectory of press freedom in the region.

# Social and Cultural Inequalities in Social Media Adoption in Asian Countries

The adoption of social media has become a crucial aspect of modern societal dynamics, especially within the context of social and cultural inequalities evident across various Asian countries. The unique cultural, socio-economic, and political frameworks in these nations profoundly influence how social media integrates into everyday life and how diverse demographic groups interact with these platforms. The landscape of social media use in Asia is marked by disparities stemming from traditional societal structures, technology access, and varying degrees of state control, all contributing to broader inequality issues. A key factor exacerbating social and cultural inequalities in social media adoption is the influence of enduring traditional social norms and hierarchical structures prevalent in many Asian cultures. For instance, Rajiani et al. highlight how social media usage differs in human resource management within Asian societies compared to the more egalitarian Western cultures. Western cultures' openness to using social media in professional interactions, including with superiors, contrasts starkly with the conservative approach dominant in many Asian countries, where such interactions are often heavily controlled by hierarchical authority (Rajiani et al., 2024). These organizational cultural barriers create gaps in the potential use of social media as a collaborative tool, limiting innovation and cooperation among employees (Bhatti et al., 2021).

Furthermore, the essence of digital inequality is underscored by the disparities in technology access and digital literacy across Asian countries. This inequality is not merely technological but closely tied to socio-economic status, gender, and education levels. Countries like Kazakhstan face unique challenges where state-controlled media restrict public discourse, making social media one of the few platforms for citizens to engage in political discussions or resistance (Ishmukhamedov & Kassenov, 2021). These disparities reveal that although social media can be a powerful unifier, it often mirrors existing inequalities, as marginalized communities may lack the access or skills needed to utilize these platforms effectively. The interplay between societal expectations and social media use becomes increasingly complex due to cultural contexts shaping

individual user behaviors, especially concerning self-presentation and interaction. Research among Asian-American communities shows that fears of social consequences often deter individuals from fully expressing their emotional and social selves on these platforms (Charmaraman et al., 2018). This apprehension about social reactions correlates with reluctance to engage deeply on social media, reinforcing cycles of social and cultural restriction. Moreover, during crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic, experiences of discrimination have driven increased social media use among Asian populations as a coping mechanism, albeit with varied impacts on their well-being (Yang et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2021). This situation illustrates how social media can simultaneously perpetuate inequalities and provide channels for resistance against them.

In examining how social media facilitates or impedes empowerment, especially in the political domain, it is crucial to recognize its significant role in grassroots activism across several Asian countries. For example, Malaysia's Bersih movement leveraged social media to push for electoral reforms, showcasing the platform's capacity to empower citizens by providing tools for mobilization and organization (Tye et al., 2018). However, this empowerment is unevenly distributed; systemic barriers continue to create disparities in how various demographic groups can leverage these platforms for advocacy and social change. The economic sector also reflects these inequalities, particularly in the performance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs), which are vital to developing economies. Social media adoption by SMEs can significantly affect performance and customer engagement, but many face challenges due to limited resources or lack of knowledge about effective digital strategies (Solomon et al., 2023; Ahmad et al., 2019). This skills gap often means well-established larger companies dominate this space, leaving smaller firms at a disadvantage. Such economic inequalities can further exacerbate social disparities as the benefits of digital connectivity are unevenly distributed.

Cultural factors play a vital role in shaping social media adoption patterns across different Asian contexts. Cross-cultural analyses reveal that collectivist cultures may prioritize different social media attributes compared to individualistic societies, affecting everything from usage patterns to the values underpinning online engagement (AlSaleh et al., 2019). Understanding these cultural dimensions is crucial for developing strategies targeting equitable access to social media benefits across diverse demographic groups. Furthermore, social media engagement related to critical issues such as education and gender

equality reflects complex dynamics. Social media platforms have been essential in advocating for women's rights and education in South Asia, providing community support networks and connectivity that challenge existing cultural norms (Montaha et al., 2023). By bridging geographic and social gaps, these platforms offer women and marginalized groups opportunities to amplify their voices and experiences. Finally, considering the future implications of social media adoption on social and cultural inequality in Asia is essential. As digital spaces continue to evolve, understanding the underlying cultural narratives and structural barriers influencing user engagement remains critical. Policymakers and social leaders should consider implementing measures that promote inclusive social media practices, ensuring fair access for all demographic groups, and actively working to dismantle factors perpetuating inequality. Additionally, the potential of social media as a tool for positive change must be harnessed wisely to mitigate negative effects arising from discrimination and socioeconomic disadvantages, ultimately fostering a more inclusive and equitable digital ecosystem across Asian societies. In conclusion, the exploration of social and cultural inequalities in social media adoption in Asian countries reveals a complex interaction between cultural norms, socio-economic factors, and technological readiness. Each aspect not only shapes how individuals interact with social media but also reflects broader social structures influencing access to digital resources. As societies face challenges in digital engagement, recognizing and addressing these inequalities is vital for creating a fairer future for all users.

# The Role of Government and Regulation in the Use of Social Media in Asian Countries

The role of government and regulation in social media use across Asian countries reflects a complex interaction between political power, digital technology, and social values. The regulatory landscape is shaped by the unique socio-political contexts of each country and influenced by the overarching objectives of national governance. This analysis explores various dimensions of social media regulation in selected Asian countries, with a focused examination of Vietnam, Indonesia, and China, incorporating perspectives on governance, civic participation, and the tension between freedom and control inherent within these frameworks. In Vietnam, the government has significantly intensified social media regulations, particularly concerning influencers and content dissemination. Following major incidents involving influencers, Vietnamese

authorities mandate social media accounts with over 10,000 followers to register with authorities and disclose their identities. Such policies exemplify efforts to regulate social media's influence on public opinion, as detailed by Le and Hutchinson in their exploration of Vietnam's legal frameworks governing influencer marketing (Le & Hutchinson, 2022). These regulations reflect expectations that social media platforms limit content flagged by government agencies, illustrating the broader Vietnamese governmental approach that leverages digital platforms while mitigating perceived risks to political stability.

In Indonesia, regulatory frameworks guide the use of social media within public administration. The Ministry of Administrative and Bureaucratic Reform's regulations outline guidelines for government agencies to effectively use social media, promoting transparency and engagement with citizens (Yusmanizar et al., 2023). This move toward integrating social media into government operations reflects broader Southeast Asian trends, where governments increasingly recognize social media's dual potential to improve communication and reinforce public control. China's social media operates under a stringent censorship regime epitomized by the "Great Firewall," which restricts access to foreign platforms and controls narratives on domestic platforms. Sun et al. note that although social media can reduce information imbalances during regulatory processes, Chinese authorities prioritize political stability over unrestricted information access (Sun et al., 2020). The strategic use of social media by the Chinese government mirrors patterns in other authoritarian regimes in the region, where state-backed narratives dominate and dissenting voices face strict surveillance or suppression.

The relationship between social media regulation and civic participation is complex. Platforms such as Facebook and Twitter have been instrumental in fostering democratic engagement, especially in countries where mainstream media is tightly restricted, such as Vietnam and Indonesia. However, government surveillance often limits the effectiveness of such participation. Lin and Kant observe that social media creates spaces for citizen engagement, yet empowerment is frequently constrained by state regulations and social norms that inhibit meaningful interaction (Lin & Kant, 2021). This highlights a regional tension where participation is encouraged but strictly bounded by state actors. Within ASEAN countries, regulatory environments vary widely, with some nations adopting authoritarian stances while others pursue more open approaches to social media governance. Sinpeng and Koh's comparative study

of ASEAN reveals how the regional digital environment is shaped by authoritarian tendencies alongside the burgeoning influence of independent media (Sinpeng & Koh, 2023). Countries like Malaysia and Myanmar have witnessed significant governmental use of social media to propagate state narratives, notably during elections (Idris, 2018). These dynamics underscore the necessity for nuanced policies balancing state interests and civil liberties. In Pakistan, as highlighted by Bashir and Hussain, there is a call for socially responsible legislation aligned with international best practices (Bashir & Hussain, 2024). The historical legacy of media regulation in South Asia reflects ongoing control mechanisms, hindering the evolution of a free media environment and shaping social media as a communication tool for citizens and governments alike. This underscores crucial considerations for policymakers seeking effective social media governance: the cultural and social dynamics affecting public perceptions of regulation.

Governments in the region increasingly acknowledge social media's potential to disseminate information and engage citizens. Nonetheless, the challenge lies in fostering two-way communication that builds trust and transparency. For instance, Idris notes that although Indonesian government institutions strive to use social media as communication channels, the persistent challenge remains moving beyond mere information dissemination toward building collaborative relationships with citizens (Idris, 2018). One-way communication through these channels, without enabling feedback, limits the effectiveness of governmental social media strategies. Overall, social media regulation in Asian countries reveals significant contradictions; despite the platforms' promise of greater governmental transparency and civic participation, they also function as instruments of state control and surveillance. The diverse regulatory efforts across these countries illustrate an underlying struggle to advance digital governance while maintaining state authority amid rapidly evolving technological landscapes. Countries like Indonesia and Vietnam increasingly harness social media for governance; however, their approaches reveal tensions where control often outweighs engagement objectives. The government's role and regulation in shaping social media usage in Asian countries represent a spectrum of approaches ranging from strict censorship and authoritarian oversight to more moderate regulatory frameworks designed to leverage social media's potential for civic participation. These findings emphasize the urgent need for robust dialogue between states and citizens to

effectively address an evolving digital landscape. Governments must balance regulatory ambitions with fundamental principles of freedom of expression and public accountability to genuinely incorporate social media as tools of democratic governance.

# Digital Awareness and Media Literacy

Digital awareness and media literacy in Asian countries constitute a critical issue for understanding the socio-political and economic landscape of the region. Evaluating these concepts requires an approach that integrates various aspects such as digital literacy, economic growth, political stability, educational frameworks, and cultural narratives. This thorough examination provides comprehensive insights into the diverse yet interconnected realms of digital awareness and media literacy across Asian nations. Digital literacy has seen rapid development in recent years, characterized by individuals' ability to navigate and effectively utilize digital tools for information management and critical assessment. According to Kusumastuti and Nuryani (2020), digital literacy encompasses the awareness, attitudes, and competencies required to engage responsibly and effectively with digital media. High levels of media literacy are essential for individuals to critically interpret messages, verify information, analyze media content, and comprehend the construction of reality through multiple media channels. In the ASEAN context, there exists significant variation in digital literacy levels influenced by factors such as economic development, educational initiatives, and governmental policies (Kusumastuti & Nuryani, 2020).

Amid technological advances, the political landscape in Central Asia, particularly in countries like Kazakhstan, is evolving due to rising tensions stemming from social media dynamics. The dissemination of political information via digital platforms has emerged as a vital channel for citizen expression, especially in regimes tightly controlling traditional media (Ishmukhamedov & Kassenov, 2021). This stimulates discussion on the crucial role of media literacy in fostering informed citizenship and enabling meaningful participation in political discourse. Promoting media literacy can mitigate the risks of misinformation spread and enhance the quality of public discourse in Central Asian countries (Ishmukhamedov & Kassenov, 2021). In East Asian countries, specifically China, the media literacy education landscape is still developing. Gao (2024) argues that although media literacy has emerged as an

independent discipline in developed countries, its educational framework remains relatively nascent in China, gaining attention only in the 21st century. The expanding internet penetration and technological advances demand a robust media literacy framework to address emerging social challenges, highlighting an urgent need for comprehensive educational reforms. Such reforms should aim to integrate media literacy into standard curricula to prepare citizens against the tactics of misinformation and disinformation prevalent in the digital space (Gao, 2024).

Beyond social impacts, economic considerations are equally important. The digital economy is recognized as a transformational force for growth in Asian countries. Wang et al. (2022) describe how the shift towards digitalization has aided the recovery of Asian countries post-COVID-19 pandemic, notably through progress in e-commerce and mobile technologies. The rapid adoption of digital tools and processes contributes to a more resilient economic framework and underscores the urgent need for digital literacy education aligned with this transition (Wang et al., 2022). However, despite positive trends in digital adoption, challenges in media literacy persist across regions. Particularly in South Asia, the digital divide is prominent. Hossain et al. (2020) identify disparities in access to health services through digital technologies across South Asian countries, heavily influenced by socio-economic conditions. This divide emphasizes the necessity for targeted interventions to improve digital access and media literacy among marginalized communities, ensuring full engagement with digital platforms in sectors such as healthcare and beyond (Hossain et al., 2020).

Equally significant is the role of educational initiatives in cultivating media literacy among youth. Chelysheva (2019) highlights programs in Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan, and Turkmenistan aimed at enhancing media literacy education at various levels. These programs focus on integrating media literacy within existing educational frameworks to equip students with critical skills necessary for navigating an increasingly complex media landscape. This suggests that policymakers must prioritize educational reforms emphasizing the importance of critical media studies for all age groups. Additionally, the role of foreign aid in advancing media literacy cannot be overlooked, particularly in Central Asia where local resources may be limited. Dadakhonov (2024) states that international assistance plays a significant role in sustaining media literacy and information initiatives in Central Asian countries. Such aid not only provides essential funding but also facilitates knowledge exchange, contributing to

strengthening educational frameworks related to media literacy (Dadakhonov, 2024). Collaboration between local governments and foreign entities exemplifies how global partnerships can foster substantial progress in social awareness and civic engagement.

Conversely, Southeast Asian countries are also experiencing transformations in digital citizenship, especially among the youth. Lwin (2019) illustrates that promoting digital citizenship is an important tool for engaging young populations in civic activities and public opinion formation (Yue et al., 2019). This trend underscores the importance of fostering cross-cultural awareness and understanding among youth, enriching citizenship participation in a diverse socio-political landscape and integrating media literacy into broader educational paradigms. Enhanced digital literacy and media awareness can significantly influence civic engagement, as demonstrated by increased youth participation in political dialogue through online platforms. The ability to critically engage with media content enables youth not only to consume information but also to contribute meaningfully to public discourse (Yue et al., 2019). Given these dynamics, emphasis on developing media literacy programs tailored for young demographics can yield positive outcomes for participatory governance and community discourse. The landscape of digital awareness and media literacy in Asian countries is multifaceted and dynamic. It encompasses educational frameworks, economic implications, and intertwined socio-political elements. From enhancing individual competencies in digital literacy to promoting effective civic engagement and addressing geographic disparities in digital access, the need for cohesive strategies in these areas has never been more urgent. Strengthening media literacy initiatives, particularly amidst rapid digital transformation, will not only empower individuals but also shape more resilient and informed societies capable of navigating the complexities of the digital age.

#### Conclusion

The most significant finding of this study is the substantial impact of social media on press freedom and the democratization of information in Asian countries. Social media has emerged as a primary platform for citizens to express their opinions and access information that was previously restricted by traditional media outlets. This development not only fosters freedom of expression but also accelerates the dissemination of more inclusive political, social, and cultural information. However, challenges related to misinformation

and government control over social media highlight the need for a careful balance between information freedom and fair regulation. The scientific contribution of this study lies in providing a deeper understanding of the dynamics of press freedom within the diverse contexts of Asian countries. This research enriches the comprehension of social media's role in driving social and political change and paves the way for further studies analyzing the long-term effects of social media on political and social stability in Asia. Nevertheless, the limitations of this study include a restricted scope of countries analyzed and reliance on data that may not fully capture the complexity of each nation's situation. This research can be regarded as an initial step, with further investigation needed to explore the influence of social media in countries with varying political conditions. Future research topics that merit exploration include examining the impact of social media on voter behavior in authoritarian political systems and investigating how social media might either exacerbate or alleviate social tensions within multicultural societies. Additionally, the question of appropriate regulation to govern social media without compromising freedom of expression and information remains open for further scholarly inquiry.

#### References

- Ahmad, S., Bakar, A., & Ahmad, N. (2019). Social media adoption and its impact on firm performance: The case of the UAE. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 25(1), 84-111. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijebr-08-2017-0299
- AlSaleh, D., Elliott, M., Fu, F., & Thakur, R. (2019). Cross-cultural differences in the adoption of social media. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 13(1), 119-140. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/jrim-10-2017-0092">https://doi.org/10.1108/jrim-10-2017-0092</a>
- Baba, K., Alkuino, K., Tictic, E., & Dungog-Cuizon, A. (2022). Press freedom and censorship during the COVID-19 pandemic: The case of Cebu City. *Jurnal Studi Komunikasi (Indonesian Journal of Communications Studies)*, 6(2), 383-402. https://doi.org/10.25139/jsk.v6i2.4860
- Bashir, N., & Hussain, J. (2024). Legal framework for socially responsible social media platforms in Pakistan. *Journal of Business and Social Review in Emerging Economies*, 10(1), 97-108. https://doi.org/10.26710/jbsee.v10i1.2923
- Bhatti, S., Santoro, G., Sarwar, A., & Pellicelli, A. (2021). Internal and external antecedents of open innovation adoption in IT organisations: Insights

- from an emerging market. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 25(7), 1726-1744. https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-06-2020-0457
- Chang, A., & Tang, Y. (2023). The political foundation of mainstream media trust in East and Southeast Asia: A cross-national analysis. *Asian Politics & Policy*, 15(4), 585-604. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/aspp.12715">https://doi.org/10.1111/aspp.12715</a>
- Charmaraman, L., Chan, H., Chen, S., Richer, A., & Ramanudom, B. (2018). Asian American social media use: From cyber dependence and cyber harassment to saving face. *Asian American Journal of Psychology*, 9(1), 72-86. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1037/aap0000109">https://doi.org/10.1037/aap0000109</a>
- Chelysheva, I. (2019). Media literacy education in Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan, and Turkmenistan. *International Journal of Media and Information Literacy*, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.13187/ijmil.2019.1.3
- Dadakhonov, A. (2024). Sustaining foreign aid initiatives in the field of media and information literacy within the Central Asian context. *Media Education*, 15(1), 57-70. <a href="https://doi.org/10.36253/me-15703">https://doi.org/10.36253/me-15703</a>
- Dadakhonov, A. (2024). The effectiveness of foreign aid in the sphere of media and information literacy in Central Asian countries. *Advances in Journalism and Communication*, 12(01), 48-72. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajc.2024.121003
- Duho, K. C. T., Amankwa, M. O., & Musah-Surugu, J. I. (2020). Determinants and convergence of government effectiveness in Africa and Asia. *Public Administration and Policy*, 23(2), 199–215. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/PAP-12-2019-0039">https://doi.org/10.1108/PAP-12-2019-0039</a>
- Gamso, J. (2021). Is China exporting media censorship? China's rise, media freedoms, and democracy. *European Journal of International Relations*, 27(3), 858-883. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/13540661211015722">https://doi.org/10.1177/13540661211015722</a>
- Gao, Y. (2024). An analysis of the dilemma of developing media literacy education in China. *Communications in Humanities Research*, 42(1), 186-191. https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7064/42/20242345
- Haque, E. (2019). Balancing freedom of the press and reasonable restrictions in Bangladesh: An appraisal. *Business Ethics and Leadership*, 3(1), 80-100. <a href="https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.3(1).80-100.2019">https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.3(1).80-100.2019</a>
- Hossain, M., Weng, W., Bhattacharya, S., Mazumder, H., & Faizah, F. (2020). Digital health divide in South Asia: Ethical concerns, challenges, and recommendations. <a href="https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/qxecj">https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/qxecj</a>

- Huda, A., & Rusfian, E. (2020). The practice of virtual democracy by government public relations on social media. *Proceedings of the First International Conference of Science, Engineering and Technology (ICSET 2019), November 23, Jakarta, Indonesia*. <a href="https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.23-11-2019.2301692">https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.23-11-2019.2301692</a>
- Iannone, A. (2022). Democracy crisis in Southeast Asia: Media control, censorship, and disinformation during the 2019 presidential and general elections in Indonesia, Thailand, and the 2019 local election in the Philippines. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Ilmu Politik*, 26(1), 81. https://doi.org/10.22146/jsp.71417
- Idris, I. (2018). Government social media in Indonesia: Just another information dissemination tool. *Jurnal Komunikasi Malaysian Journal of Communication*, 34(4), 337-356. <a href="https://doi.org/10.17576/jkmjc-2018-3404-20">https://doi.org/10.17576/jkmjc-2018-3404-20</a>
- Isabella, & Nofrima, S. (2024). Radicalism in the digital era: The role of digital literacy in preventing propaganda in Indonesia. *KnE Social Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v9i18.16357
- Ishmukhamedov, S., & Kassenov, F. (2021). Features of digitalization of political processes in Kazakhstan. *Bulletin Series Historical and Socio-Political Sciences*, 70(3). https://doi.org/10.51889/2021-3.1728-5461.08
- Ishmukhamedov, S., & Kassenov, F. (2021). Features of digitalization of political processes in Kazakhstan. *Bulletin Series Historical and Socio-Political Sciences*, 70(3). https://doi.org/10.51889/2021-3.1728-5461.08
- Kaul, V. (2012). The pros and cons of new media and media freedom. *Journal of Mass Communication and Journalism*, 2(5). <a href="https://doi.org/10.4172/2165-7912.1000114">https://doi.org/10.4172/2165-7912.1000114</a>
- Kocak, K., & Kıbrıs, Ö. (2023). Social media and press freedom. *British Journal of Political Science*, 53(1), 140–162. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123421000594
- Kusumastuti, A., & Nuryani, A. (2020). Digital literacy levels in ASEAN (Comparative study on ASEAN countries). https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.23-10-2019.2293047
- Le, V., & Hutchinson, J. (2022). Regulating social media and influencers within Vietnam. *Policy & Internet*, 14(3), 558-573. https://doi.org/10.1002/poi3.325
- Lin, Y., & Kant, S. (2021). Using social media for citizen participation: Contexts, empowerment, and inclusion. *Sustainability*, 13(12), 6635. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126635">https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126635</a>

- Montaha, S., Chowdhury, T., Kabir, G., & Uddin, M. (2023). Significance of social media on women's education and justice: A review from South Asian context. *Journal of New Media and Mass Communication*, 9(1), 1-11. <a href="https://doi.org/10.18488/91.v9i1.3361">https://doi.org/10.18488/91.v9i1.3361</a>
- Neyazi, T. (2017). Media politics in South Asia. https://doi.org/10.1093/obo/9780199756223-0213
- Ng, A., Kermani, M., & Lalonde, R. (2019). Cultural differences in psychological reactance: Responding to social media censorship. *Current Psychology*, 40(6), 2804-2813. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-019-00213-0">https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-019-00213-0</a>
- Nyunt, O. (n.d.). Challenges of the press freedom to private news media in Myanmar. <a href="https://doi.org/10.58837/chula.the.2014.733">https://doi.org/10.58837/chula.the.2014.733</a>
- Pan, S., Yang, C., Tsai, J., & Dong, C. (2021). Experience of and worry about discrimination, social media use, and depression among Asians in the United States during the COVID-19 pandemic: Cross-sectional survey study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(9), e29024. <a href="https://doi.org/10.2196/29024">https://doi.org/10.2196/29024</a>
- Queiroz, E. (2024). Liberdade de imprensa e a regulamentação das redes sociais sob a perspectiva dos direitos fundamentais. *Revft*, 29(140), 22-23. <a href="https://doi.org/10.69849/revistaft/ch10202411141322">https://doi.org/10.69849/revistaft/ch10202411141322</a>
- Rajiani, I., Arisanty, D., & Riana, I. (2024). Social media: The new frontier for human resource management in Asia. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 2024, 1-13. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/6664626">https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/6664626</a>
- Rama, B. (2024). Press freedom in the digital era in Indonesia: A human rights perspective. *Jihad Jurnal Ilmu Hukum dan Administrasi*, 6(4). <a href="https://doi.org/10.58258/jihad.v6i4.7543">https://doi.org/10.58258/jihad.v6i4.7543</a>
- Robie, D. (2018). Journalism under duress in Asia-Pacific: A decade of resistance. *Pacific Journalism Review Te Koakoa*, 24(2), 12-32. https://doi.org/10.24135/pjr.v24i2.459
- Salzman, R. (2019). Going deeper: Social media use and the development of democratic attitudes in Latin America. *Global Media and Communication*, 15(1), 85–101. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/1742766518818871">https://doi.org/10.1177/1742766518818871</a>
- Sinpeng, A., & Koh, Y. (2023). Journalism in the age of digital autocracy: A comparative ASEAN perspective. *JAS (Journal of ASEAN Studies)*, 10(2). <a href="https://doi.org/10.21512/jas.v10i2.9162">https://doi.org/10.21512/jas.v10i2.9162</a>
- Solomon, H., Allen, T., & Wangombe, W. (2023). Analyzing the factors that influence social media adoption among SMEs in developing

- countries. *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 22(2), 248-267. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10843-023-00330-9
- South-east Asian media curbs will constrain populism. (2015). (Duho et al., 2020; Kocak & Kıbrıs, 2023)
- Sun, Q., Li, T., Ma, F., Guo, X., & Si-jie, W. (2020). Dynamic evolution of safety regulation of the ridesharing industry under social media participation. *Symmetry*, 12(4), 560. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12040560">https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12040560</a>
- Tye, M., Leong, C., Tan, F., Tan, B., & Khoo, Y. (2018). Social media for empowerment in social movements: The case of Malaysia's grassroots activism. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 42, 408-430. https://doi.org/10.17705/1cais.04215
- Vaccari, C., & Chadwick, A. (2020). Deepfakes and disinformation: Exploring the impact of synthetic political video on deception, uncertainty, and trust in news. *Social Media + Society*, *6*(1), 2056305120903408. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120903408">https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120903408</a>
- Waldman, S., & Çalışkan, E. (2017). Breaking the news. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190668372.003.0005
- Wang, Y., Jiang, S., Wu, C., Cai, X., & Wang, F. (2022). Impact of the global megatrends, COVID-19, and digital economy on professional career management transformation in Asian countries. *Sustainability*, 14(17), 10981. https://doi.org/10.3390/su141710981
- Wicaksono, A., & Setiawan, A. (2023). Causes of self-censorship in the Japanese mass media. *ICESST*, 2(2), 77-81. https://doi.org/10.55606/icesst.v2i2.286
- Yang, C., Tsai, J., & Pan, S. (2020). Discrimination and well-being among Asians/Asian Americans during COVID-19: The role of social media. *Cyberpsychology Behavior and Social Networking*, 23(12), 865-870. https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2020.0394
- Yue, A., Nekmat, E., & Beta, A. (2019). Digital literacy through digital citizenship: Online civic participation and public opinion evaluation of youth minorities in Southeast Asia. *Media and Communication*, 7(2), 100-114. https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v7i2.1899
- Yusmanizar, Y., Djalil, N., Yulhaidir, Y., & Alyas, A. (2023). Developing e-government policy through social media platform in Makassar City, Indonesia. *Otoritas Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 13(3), 454-468. https://doi.org/10.26618/ojip.v13i3.11641